SAF-B02-063 100 K Area - Full Protocol FINAL DATA PACKAGE

E:MAIL RESULTS TO:	•	
Mark Buckmaster		N/A INITIAL/DATE
Mike Stankovich		NA INITIAL/DATE
COMPLETE COPY OF DA	TA PACKAGE TO	,
Mark Buckmaster	X3-16	1.13.04 NITIAL DATE
Mike Stankovich	H9-02	NUTADDATE 1.13 04
Jeanette Duncan		1.13.04
COMMENTS: (PLEASE IN SHEET)	NCLUDE THE FO	LLOWING ON THE COVER
SDGW0422	SAF	-B02 - 063
Rad only	Chem only	X Rad & Chem
X Complete	Partial	

Waste Site: 116-K-1





STL St. Louis 13715 Rider Trail North Earth City, MO 63045

Tel: 314 298 8566 Fax: 314 298 8757 www.stl-inc.com

ANALYTICAL REPORT

PROJECT NO. 100K AREA

B02-063

Lot #: F3L060148 SDG #: W04221

Joan Kessner

Bechtel Hanford, Inc. 3350 George Washington Way MSIN HO-25 Richland, WA 99352

SEVERN TRENT LABORATORIES, INC.

MARTI WARD Project Manager

MWard

December 11, 2003

Severn Trent Laboratories, Inc.



STL St. Louis 13715 Rider Trail North Earth City, MO 63045

Tel: 314 298 8566 Fax: 314 298 8757

www.stl-inc.com

December 11, 2003

STL LOT NUMBER: F3L060148

5DG: W04221

Joan Kessner
Bechtel Hanford, Inc.
3350 George Washington Way
MSIN HO-25
Richland, WA 99352

Dear Joan Kessner,

This report contains the analytical results for the sample received under chain of custody by Severn Trent Laboratories (STL) on December 4, 2003. This sample is associated with your BO2-063 SAF.

All applicable quality control procedures met method-specified acceptance criteria.

This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of the laboratory. This report is incomplete without the Case Narrative. Results are reported "as received" (i.e. wet weight) unless otherwise noted.

If you have any questions, please feel free to call me at (314) 298-8566.

Sincerely,

Marti Ward Project Manager

cc: Project File



SAMPLE SUMMARY

P3L060148

 WO #
 SAMPLE#
 CLIENT SAMPLE ID
 SAMPLED DATE
 SAMPLED TIME

 F56EQ
 001
 J01575
 12/02/03
 09:40

NOTE(S):

- The analytical results of the samples listed above are presented on the following pages.
- All calculations are performed before rounding to avoid round-off errors in calculated results.
- Results noted as "ND" were not detected at or above the stated limit.
- This report must not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of the laboratory.
- Results for the following parameters are never reported on a dry weight basis: color, corrosivity, density, flashpoint, ignitability, layers, odor, paint fifter test, pH, porosity pressure, reactivity, redox potential, specific gravity, spot tests, solids, solubility, temperature, viscosity, and weight.

METHODS SUMMARY

F3L060148

PARAMETER		ANALY METHO		PREPARATION METHOD			
	Moisture nductively Coupled Plasma (ICP) Metals		160.3 6010B	MOD	MCAWW SW846	160.3 3050B	
Referen	ces:	•					
MCAWW	"Methods for Chemical Analysis of Wate EPA-600/4-79-020, March 1983 and subse			3.			
SW846	"Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Was Methods", Third Edition, November 1986	-			al		

Bechtel Hanfo	ed Inc	CI	IAIN OF CUST	Onve	AMDIE	ANAL	VCIC	DEALL	TZ	802	-063-025	Page 1	of <u>!</u>
Collector Fahlberg	Id Inc.	Compa	HAIN OF CUST Inv Contact Inkovich	Telephor 531-70	e No.	ANAL		Project Co KESSNER	ordinator	Price Code	83	Data Ti	rnaround
Project Designation 100 K Area - Full Protocol			Sampling Location SA				SAF No. B02-063		Air Quality	:	21	Days	
ice Chest No. ERC-	01.021	Field I	ogbook No.	^	COA R116K126			Method of	Shipment	_FED	<u></u> ×	/-(-	HH45-
	ET LOUIS	 		040				Bill of Lac	ling/Air Bil	INO. SEE	08	ہ حـ	
POSSIBLE SAMPLE HAZA Potentially Radioactive	RDS/REMARKS		Preservation	Nime	Cool 4C	None	Nune						
Special Handling and/or S	Storage		Type of Container	a G	#G	P	3						
Coal 4c			No. of Container(s)	60mL	Omt.	1000mL/	60ml					 	
			Volume	See item (1) in	Chromium	See itegh (2) in	Strentiu					<u> </u>	
W04221	Sample anal	YSIS		Special Instructions	11ex - 71%	19 min	\$9,911 T Sr. Nickel Serben Farker [] - [4]	cetal della para					
Sample No.	Matrix *	Sampk: Date	Sample Time		1/1								
J01575	SOIL	12.207	0940	X	/ K	Х	×		Log	_		 	
			-		<u> </u>								
					l.	TAL INSTR							Matrix *
Relinquished By/Removed From // 8728 / 240 Belinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time 12-2-5 Dite/Time 3 /000 Date/Time	Received By/Stor	ed in Do	Ac/Time	(1) K (2) G	P Metals - 60	10A (Supe	ricace) (Arac	RF 11-6 nic. Chromium hak-60, Europ	¶.ø≯ , Leedt-Mercury · ium-152, Europium-	7471 - (CV) 154, Europiun	a⊱155‡	S=Spil SE=Sodimen SO=Solidge W=Water O=Oil A=Air IS=Drum Sulide IN + Hum F lagin
Relinquished By/Removed From	12-4) -0-3 (00) 1)ate/Time	Received By/Saw	EX De	ste/l'imc									T-Tisair WI-Wipe L-Liquid
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Skw	ed In Di	nte/Time	_ [1	'ersonnet no Relinquish sa Ref#on_	umples fro	om 3728					V=Vegetation A=Orlice
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Sur	red In Di	McTime									<u></u>
1.ABURATORY Received B	" KMCC			Tii	1. 600	hal					1)6	Date/lime	m

Y

Condition Upon Receipt Form St. Louis Laboratory

Lot No.: F 3LOG N48	•
WOHZZI	

Client:	sichland	Date:	12/5/03 Time: 0//00
Quote No:	51074	Initiat	
Shipper/No	. Fed Ex 790981626930 79534	56499 coc/	RFA Numbers: 104-007-13 WOI-011-5
Condition	Variance (Circle "Y" for yes and "N" for no	. If "N" is circled, so	ee notes for explanation): B02063-000
	N Sample received in undamaged condition.	5. (V)N	Sample volume sufficient for analysis.
] 2. (Y)	N Sample received within 4°C ± 2°C*	. 6. 🕥 и	Sample received with Chain of Custody.
	Record temperature: 29 ambres	7. (V)	Chain of Custody matches sample IDs on containers.
3. (2)	N N/A Sample received with proper pH**.	8. (Y) N	Custody seal received intact and tamper evident on cooler.
4 🕜	N Sample received in proper containers.	9. ÝN	Custody seal received intact and tamper evident on bottles.
1 Tampaga	ture Variance Does Not Affect the Following Analy	Aslak	4463
•	E-AL (Pantex, LANL, Sandia, Timet) sites, remem		rs received, except for VOA, TOX, and soils.
Notes:			imbent temperature: tracking
£179	1571175 /2100	ucition or s	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
H L 19	CD40D 4177		
		-,	
			
		-	
		<u> </u>	
Corrective	Artim		
	Client's Name:	Informed verbally on:	By:
_	Client's Name:	Informed in writing or	
_ 	Sample(s) processed "as is".	•	
	Sample(s) on hold until:		If released, notify:
Sample Cor	ntrol Supervisor (or designate) Review:	an	
Project Mar	nagement Review:	(land	Date: 12/9/03

SIGNED ORIGINAL MUST BE RETAINED IN THE PROJECT FILE

THIS FORM MUST BE COMPLETED AT THE TIME THE ITEMS ARE BEING CHECKED

IF ANY ITEM IS COMPLETED BY SOMEONE OTHER THAN THE INITIATOR, THEN THAT PERSON IS REQUIRED TO APPLY THEIR

INITIALS AND THE DATE NEXT TO THAT ITEM '

7681

\$L-ADMIN-0004, Revised 6/5/03 \Qstlmo05\QA\FORMS\ST-LOUIS\ADMIN\Admin004_rev6_05_03.doc

METALS

BECHTEL HANFORD, INC.

Client Sample ID: J01575

TOTAL Metals

Lot-Sample #...: F3L060148-001

Matrix....: SOLID

Date Sampled...: 12/02/03 Date Received..: 12/04/03

* MOISCUIE						
PARAMETER	RESULT	REPORTING LIMIT	UNITS	METHOD	PREPARATION- ANALYSIS DATE	WORK ORDER #
Prep Batch #	: 3342223					
Chromium	9.1 J	1.1	mg/kg	SW846 6010B	12/08-12/09/03	F56EQLAD
		Dilution Facto	r: 1	MDL 0.059		

NOTE (S):

Results and reporting limits have been adjusted for dry weight.

J. Method blank contamination. The associated method blank contains the target analyte at a reportable level.

MATRIX SPIKE SAMPLE DATA REPORT

TOTAL Metals

Client Lot #...: F3L060148

Date Sampled...: 12/02/03

Date Received..: 12/04/03

Matrix.....: SOLID

SAMPLE SPIKE MEASRD PERCNT PREPARATION-WORK ANALYSIS DATE ORDER # PARAMETER AMOUNT AMT AMOUNT UNITS RECVRY RPD METHOD MS Lot-Sample #: F3L060148-001 Prep Batch #...: 3342223 **% Moisture....:** 5.2 Chromium 12/08-12/09/03 F56EQ1AE 28.8 mg/kg 93 SW846 6010B 9.1 21.1 2.3 SW846 6010B 12/08-12/09/03 F56EQ1AF 21.1 28.2 90 9.1 mg/kg Dilution Factor: 1

NOTE(S):

Results and reporting limits have been adjusted for dry weight.

Calculations are performed before rounding to avoid round-off errors in calculated results.

METHOD BLANK REPORT

TOTAL Metals

Client Lot #...: F3L060148

Matrix....: SOLID

 REPORTING
 PREPARATION- WORK

 PARAMETER
 RESULT
 UNITS
 METHOD
 ANALYSIS DATE
 ORDER #

 MB Lot-Sample #: F3L080000-223
 Prep Batch #...: 3342223

 Chromium
 0.11 B
 1.0
 mg/kg
 SW846 6010B
 12/08-12/09/03
 F57JQ1AA

 Dilution Factor: 1

NOTE(S):

Calculations are performed before rounding to avoid round-off errors in calculated results.

B Estimated result. Result is less than RL.

LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE DATA REPORT

TOTAL Metals

Client Lot	#: F3	L060148			Matrix:	SOLID
PARAMETER	SPIKE AMOUNT	MEASURED AMOUNT U	PERCN NITS RECVR	T Y METHOD	PREPARATION- ANALYSIS DATE	WORK ORDER #
LCS Lot-Sam	ple#: F3	L080000-223	Prep Batch #	.: 3342223		
Chromium	167	164 π	ng/kg 98	SW846 6010B	12/08-12/09/03	F57JQ1AC
		Dil	ution Factor: 1			
NOTE(S):						

Calculations are performed before rounding to avoid round-off errors in calculated results.

Analytical Data Package Prepared For

Bechtel Hanford

Radiochemical Analysis By

STL Richland

2800 G.W. Way, Richland Wa, 99352, (509)-375-3131.

Assigned Laboratory Code: STLRL

Data Package Contains 31 Pages

Report No.: 24517

SDG No.	Order No.	Client Sample ID (List Order)	Lot-Sa No.	Work Order	Report DB ID	Batch No.	
W04221	B02-063	J01575	J3L050138-1	F53LV1AA	9F53LV10	3345586	
		J01575	J3L050138-1	F53LV1AE	9F53LV10	3346318	,
		J01575	J3L050138-1	F53LV1AC	9F53LV10	3346319	





STL Richland 2800 George Washington Way Richland, WA 99352

Tel: 509 375 3131 Fax: 509 375 5590 www.stl-inc.com

Certificate of Analysis

Bechtel Hanford, Inc. 3350 George Washington Way Richland, WA 99352

December 26, 2003

Attention: Joan Kessner

SAF Number

B02-063

Date SDG Closed

December 4, 2003

Number of Samples

One (1)

Sample Type

چ Soil

SDG Number

W04202 SYS 12129103

Data Deliverable

21-Day / Summary

CASE NARRATIVE

I. Introduction

On December 4, 2003, one soil sample was received at STL Richland (STLR) for radiochemical analysis. Upon receipt, the sample was assigned the following laboratory ID number to correspond with the Bechtel Hanford, Inc. (BHI) specific ID:

BHI ID#	STLR ID#	<u>MATRIX</u>	DATE OF RECEIPT
J01575	F53LV	SOIL	12/4/03

II. Sample Receipt

The sample was received in good condition and no anomalies were noted during check-in.

III. Analytical Results/Methodology

The analytical results for this report are presented by laboratory sample ID. Each set of data includes sample identification information, analytical results and the appropriate associated statistical errors.

Bechtel Hanford, Inc. December 26, 2003 Page 2

The requested analyses were:

Gas Proportional Counting
Total Strontium by method RICH-RC-5006
Gamma Spectroscopy
Gamma Spec by method RICH-RC-5017
Chemical Analyses
Hexavalent Chromium by EPA method 7196A

IV. Quality Control

The analytical results for each analysis performed under SDG W04221 includes a minimum of one laboratory control sample (LCS), one method (reagent) blank, and one duplicate sample analysis. Any exceptions have been noted in the "Comments" section.

QC and sample results are reported in the same units.

V. Comments

Gas Proportional Counting

Total Strontium by method RICH-RC-5006:

The LCS, batch blank, sample and sample duplicate (J01575) results are within contractual requirements.

Gamma Spectroscopy

Gamma Spec by method RICH-RC-5017:

There was insufficient sample received to analyze a separate duplicate sample fraction, therefore the precision determination was performed by recounting the sample aliquot on a separate detector. The Ra-228 recovery in the LCS was outside acceptance criteria. Although it is suspected to be out of limits due to counting statistics, the analysis will be monitored. Except as noted, the LCS, batch blank, sample and sample duplicate (J01575) results are within contractual requirements.

Chemical Analyses

Hexavalent Chromium by EPA method 7196A:

The sample matrix spike recovery was slightly low (73%), inhomogeneity of the matrix was confirmed upon reanalysis. Except as noted, the LCS, batch blank, sample, sample duplicate (J01575) and sample matrix spike (J01575) results are within contractual requirements.

I certify that this Certificate of Analysis is in compliance with the SOW, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed above. Release of the data contained in this hard copy data package has been authorized by the Laboratory Manager, or a designee as verified by the following signature.

Reviewed and approved:

Beverly I. Giroir Project Manager

Drinking Water Method Cross References

	DRINKING WATER ASTM METHOD CROSS REFERENCE					
Referenced Method	Isotope(s)	STL Richland's SOP number				
EPA 901.1	Cs-134, I-131	RICH-RC-5017				
EPA 900.0	Alpha & Beta	RICH-RC-5014				
EPA 903.1	Ra-226	RICH-RC-5005				
EPA 904.0	Ra-228	RICH-RC-5005				
EPA 905.0	Sr89/90	RICH-RC-5006				
ASTM D2460	Total Radium	RICH-RC-5027				
Standard Method 7500-U-C & ASTM D5174	Uranium	RICH-RC-5058				
EPA 906.0	Tritium	RICH-RC-5007				
NOTE:						
The Gross Alpha LCS is prepared with Am-24						
The Gross Beta LCS is prepared with Sr/Y-90	O (unless otherwis	e specified in the case narrative)				

Uncertainty Estimation

STL Richland has adopted the internationally accepted approach to estimating uncertainties described in "NIST Technical Note 1297, 1994 Edition". The approach, "Law of Propagation of Errors", involves the identification of all variables in an analytical method which are used to derive a result. These variables are related to the analytical result (R) by some functional relationship, R = constants * f(x,y,z,...). The components (x,y,z) are evaluated to determine their contribution to the overall method uncertainty. The individual component uncertainties (u_i) are then combined using a statistical model that provides the most probable overall uncertainty value. All component uncertainties are categorized as type A, evaluated by statistical methods, or type B, evaluated by other means. Uncertainties not included in the components, such as sample homogeneity, are combined with the component uncertainty as the square root of the sum-of-the-squares of the individual uncertainties. The uncertainty associated with the derived result is the combined uncertainty (u_c) multiplied by the coverage factor (1,2, or 3).

When three or more sample replicates are used to derive the analytical result, the type A uncertainty is the standard deviation of the mean value (S/vn), where S is the standard deviation of the derived results. The type B uncertainties are all other random or non-random components that are not included in the standard deviation.

The derivation of the general "Law of Propagation of Errors" equations and specific example are available on request.

Report Definitions

An agreed upon activity level used to trigger some action when the final result is greater than or equal to the Action **Action Lev** Level. Often the Action Level is related to the Decision Limit. The QC preparation batch number that relates laboratory samples to QC samples that were prepared and analyzed Batch together. Bias Defined by the equation (Result/Expected)-1 as defined by ANSI N13.30. COC No Chain of Custody Number assigned by the Client or STL Richland. Poisson counting statistics of the gross sample count and background. The uncertainty is absolute and in the same Count Error (#s) units as the result. For Liquid Scintillation Counting (LSC) the batch blank count is the background. All known uncertainties associated with the preparation and analysis of the sample are propagated to give a measure Total Uncert (#s) of the uncertainty associated with the result, u_r the combined uncertainty. The uncertainty is absolute and in the u_c_Combined same units as the result. Uncertainty. The coverage factor defines the width of the confidence interval, 1, 2 or 3 standard deviations. (#s), Coverage **Factor** CRDL (RL) Contractual Required Detection Limit as defined in the Client's Statement Of Work or STL Richland "default" nominal detection limit. Often referred to the reporting level (RL) Decision Level based on instrument background or blank, adjusted by the Efficiency, Chemical Yield, and Volume Lc associated with the sample. The Type I error probability is approximately 5%. Lc=(1.645 * Sqrt(2*(BkgrndCnt/BkgrndCntMin)/SCntMin)) * (ConvFct/(Eff*Yld*Abn*Vol) * IngrFct). For LSC methods the batch blank is used as a measure of the background variability. Lc cannot be calculated when the background count The number assigned by the LIMS software to track samples received on the same day for a given client. The Lot-Sample No sample number is a sequential number assigned to each sample in the Lot. Detection Level based on instrument background or blank, adjusted by the Efficiency, Chemical Yield, and Volume MDC|MDA with a Type I and II error probability of approximately 5%. MDC = (4.65 * Sqrt((BkgrndCnt/BkgrndCntMin)/SCntMin) + 2.71/SCntMin) * (ConvFct/(Eff * Yld * Abn * Vol) * IngrFct). For LSC methods the batch blank is used as a measure of the background variability. **Primary Detector** The instrument identifier associated with the analysis of the sample aliquot. The U-234 result divided by the U-238 result. The U-234/U-238 ratio for natural uranium in NIST SRM 4321C is Ratio U-234/U-238 Rst/MDC Ratio of the Result to the MDC. A value greater than 1 may indicate activity above background at a high level of confidence. Caution should be used when applying this factor and it should be used in concert with the qualifiers associated with the result. Rst/TotUcert Ratio of the Result to the Total Uncertainty. If the uncertainty has a coverage factor of 2 a value greater than 1 may indicate activity above background at approximately the 95% level of confidence assuming a two-sided confidence interval. Caution should be used when applying this factor and it should be used in concert with the qualifiers associated with the result. Report DB No Sample Identifier used by the report system. The number is based upon the first five digits of the Work Order Number. The equation Replicate Error Ratio = (S-D)/[sqrt(TPUs² + TPUd²)] as defined by ICPT BOA where S is the original RER sample result, D is the result of the duplicate, TPUs is the total uncertainty of the original sample and TPUd is the total uncertainty of the duplicate sample. **SDG** Sample Delivery Group Number assigned by the Client or assigned by STL Richland upon sample receipt. Sum Rpt Alpha The sum of the reported alpha spec results for tests derived from the same sample excluding duplicate result where the results are in the same units. Spec Rst(s) Work Order The LIMS software assign test specific identifier. Yield The recovery of the tracer added to the sample such as Pu-242 used to trace a Pu-239/40 method.

Date: 29-Dec-03

Sample Results Summary STL Richland STLRL

Ordered by Method, Batch No., Client Sample ID.

Report No.: 24517

SDG No: W04221

J01575 DUP F53LV1AK STRO 345586 7196_CR6 J01575		result +- U	Incertainty (2s)	Qual	Units	Yield	MDC or MDA	CRDL	RPD
F53LV1AE CO-6 CS-1 EU-1 EU-1 J01575 DUP F53LV1AJ CO-6 CS-1 EU-1 EU-1 S46319 SRTOT_SEP_PR J01575 F53LV1AC STRO J01575 DUP F53LV1AK STRO 345586 7196_CR6 J01575							·· <u>·</u> ··		
CS-1 EU-1 EU-1 J01575 DUP F53LV1AJ CO-6 CS-1 EU-1 EU-1 346319 SRTOT_SEP_PR J01575 F53LV1AC STRO J01575 DUP F53LV1AK STRO 345586 7196_CR6 J01575									
EU-1 EU-1 J01575 DUP F53LV1AJ CO-6 CS-1 EU-1 EU-1 346319 SRTOT_SEP_PR J01575 F53LV1AC STRO J01575 DUP F53LV1AK STRO 345586 7196_CR6 J01575		7.27E-03	+- 1.4E-02	U	pCi/g		2.42E-02	_	
EU-1 EU-1 J01575 DUP F53LV1AJ CO-6 CS-1 EU-1 EU-1 EU-1 346319 SRTOT_SEP_PR J01575 F53LV1AC STRO J01575 DUP F53LV1AK STRO 345586 7196_CR6 J01575	137	2.37E-01	+- 4.0E-02		pCi/g		2.06E-02	1.00E-01	
EU-1 J01575 DUP F53LV1AJ CO-6 CS-1 EU-1 EU-1 346319 SRTOT_SEP_PR J01575 F53LV1AC STRO J01575 DUP F53LV1AK STRO 345586 7196_CR6 J01575	152	1.62E-01	+- 5.4E-02	U	pCi/g		6.25E-02	1.00E-01	
J01575 DUP F53LV1AJ CO-6 CS-1 EU-1 EU-1 346319 SRTOT_SEP_PR J01575 F53LV1AC STRO J01575 DUP F53LV1AK STRO 345586 7196_CR6 J01575	154	3.14E-02	+- 4.8E-02	U	pCi/g		8.40E-02	1.00E-01	
F53LV1AJ CO-6 CS-1 EU-1 EU-1 346319 SRTOT_SEP_PR J01575 F53LV1AC STRO J01575 DUP F53LV1AK STRO 345586 7196_CR6 J01575	155	2.44E-02	+- 3.3E-02	U	pCi/g		5.49E-02	1.00E-01	
CS-1 EU-1 EU-1 346319 SRTOT_SEP_PR J01575 F53LV1AC STRO J01575 DUP F53LV1AK STRO 345586 7196_CR6 J01575									
EU-1 EU-1 346319 SRTOT_SEP_PR J01575 F53LV1AC STRO J01575 DUP F53LV1AK STRO 345586 7196_CR6 J01575	·60	-1.44E-03	+- 9.6E-03	U	pCi/g		1.65E-02	5.00E-02	
EU-1 EU-1 346319 SRTOT_SEP_PR J01575 F53LV1AC STRO J01575 DUP F53LV1AK STRO 345586 7196_CR6 J01575	137	2.10E-01	+- 3.2E-02		pCi/g		1.56E-02	1.00E-01	
EU-1 346319 SRTOT_SEP_PR J01575 F53LV1AC STRO J01575 DUP F53LV1AK STRO 345586 7196_CR6 J01575	152	1.42E-01	+- 4.0E-02	U	pCi/g		4.55E-02	1.00E-01	
346319 SRTOT_SEP_PR J01575 F53LV1AC STRO J01575 DUP F53LV1AK STRO 345586 7196_CR6 J01575	154	-5.47E-03	+- 3.1E-02	U	pCi/g		5.25E-02	1.00E-01	
J01575 F53LV1AC STRO J01575 DUP F53LV1AK STRO 345586 7196_CR6 J01575	155	4.47E-02	+- 2.6E-02	U	pCi/g		4.36E-02	1.00E-01	
J01575 DUP F53LV1AK STRO 345586 7196_CR6 J01575	RECIP_GPC								
F53LV1AK STR0 345586 7196_CR6 J01575	RONTIUM	1.09E+00	+- 5.6E-01		pCi/g	81%	8.66E-01		
J01575	RONTIUM	1.66E-01	+- 3.8E-01	U	pCi/g	75%	8.61E-01		
F53LV1AA HEXC									
	KCHROME	3.50E-01	+- 0.0E+00	U	mg/kg	N/A	3.50E-01	3.50E-01	
J01575 DUP F53LV1AG HEXC	CHROME	3.50E-01	+- 0.0E+00	U	mg/kg	N/A	3.50E-01	3.50E-01	0.0
No. of Results: 14									

PD - Relative Percent Difference.

 $U \ Qual - Analyzed \ for, but \ the \ result \ is \ less \ than \ the \ Mdc/Mda|Total \ Uncert \ or \ gamma \ scan \ software \ did \ not \ identify \ the \ nuclide.$

Date: 29-Dec-03

QC Results Summary STL Richland STLRL

Ordered by Method, Batch No, QC Type,.

Report No.: 24517

SDG No.: W04221

Batch Work Order	Parameter	Result +- Uncertainty (2s)	Qual	Units	Yield	Recovery	Bias	MDC MDA
GAMMA_GS								
3346318 BLANK								
F6JQ61AA	CO-60	4.47E-03 +- 3.6E-03	U	pCi/g				7.82E-03
	CS-137	7.09E-04 +- 3.3E-03	U	pCi/g				6.16E-03
	EU-152	2.18E-03 +- 8.6E-03	U	pCi/g				1.53E-02
	EU-154	-2.12E-03 +- 8.4E-03	U	pCi/g				1.53E-02
	EU-155	8.69E-03 +- 1.0E-02	U	pCi/g				1.83E-02
3346318 LCS								
F6JQ61AC	CS-137	3.20E-01 +- 5.4E-02		pCi/g		109%	0.1	3.06E-02
	K-40	1.99E+01 +- 2.5E+00		pCi/g		102%	0.0	1.92E-01
	RA-226	1.05E+00 +- 1.5E-01		pCi/g		91%	-0.1	4.76E-02
	RA-228	2.57E+00 +- 3.7E-01		pCi/g		137%	0.4	1.10E-01
	U-238DHP	9.93E-01 +- 4.9E-01		pCi/g		94%	-0.1	5.68E-01
SRTOT_SEP_PREC 3346319 BLANK (
F6JQ71AA	STRONTIUM	-2.69E-02 +- 5.0E-02	U	pCi/g	88%			1.29E-01
3346319 LCS								
F6JQ71AC	STRONTIUM	1.12E+00 +- 3.2E-01		pCi/g	91%	98%	0.0	1.25E-01
7196_CR6 3345586 MATRIX	SPIKE							
F53LV1AF	HEXCHROME	3.06E+01 +- 0.0E+00		mg/kg	N/A	73%	-0.3	3.50E-01
3345586 LCS								
F6HEW1AC	HEXCHROME	3.76E+01 +- 0.0E+00		mg/kg	N/A	94%	-0.1	3.50E-01
3345586 BLANK (
F6HEW1AA	HEXCHROME	3.50E-01 +- 0.0E+00	U	mg/kg	N/A			3.50E - 01
No. of Results:	15							

FORM I

SAMPLE RESULTS

Lab Name:

STL Richland

Lot-Sample No.: J3L050138-1

Client Sample ID: J01575

SDG:

W04221

Report No.:

24517

COC No.:

B02-063-025

Collection Date: 12/2/2003 9:40:00 AM

Date: 29-Dec-03

Received Date:

12/4/2003 2:35:00 PM

Matrix:

SOIL

Ordered by Client Sample ID, Batch No.

Parameter	Result	Qual	Count Error (23)	Total Uncert(2s)	MDC MDA, Action Lev	Rpt Un Lc		Rst/MDC, Rst/TotUcert	Analysis, Prep Date	Total Sa Size	Aliquot Size	Primary Detector
Batch: 3345586	7196_CR6			Work Order:	F53LV1AA	1	Report DB ID: 9F53	LV10				
HEXCHROME	3.50E-01	U		0.0E+00	3.50E-01	mg/kg	N/A	(1.)	12/12/03		2.5	
							3.50E-01	N/A			G	_
Batch: 3346318	GAMMA_GS			Work Order:	F53LV1AE		Report DB ID: 9F53	LV10				
CO-60	7.27E-03	U	1.4E-02	1.4E-02	2.42E-02	pCi/g		0.3	12/15/03 11:03 a		866.7	GER6\$1
							5.00E-02	(1.1)			g	
CS-137	2.37E-01		4.0E-02	4.0E-02	2.06E-02	pCi/g		(11.5)	12/15/03 11:03 a		866.7	GER6\$1
							1.00E-01	(12.)			g	
EU-152	1.62E-01	U	5.4E-02	5.4E-02	6.25E-02	pCi/g		(2.6)	12/15/03 11:03 a		866.7	GER6\$1
							1.00E-01	(6.)			g	
EU-154	3.14E-02	u	4.8E-02	4.8E-02	8.40E-02	pCi/g		0.37	12/15/03 11:03 a		866.7	GER6\$1
20 .0.	0.772 02	Ū				, ,	1.00E-01	(1.3)	.i		g	
EU-155	2.44E-02	11	3.3E-02	3.3E-02	5.49E-02	pCi/a		0.44	12/15/03 11:03 a		866.7	GER6\$1
20 100	2,446 02	Ü	0.02 02	0.02 12	27.52	F 3	1.00E-01	(1.5)			g	_
Batch: 3346319	SRTOT_SEP_PF	RECIP_G	apc	Work Order:	F53LV1AC	-	Report DB ID: 9F5	BLV10				
STRONTIUM	1.09E+00		4.8E-01	5.6E-01	8.66E-01	pCi/g	81%	(1.3)	12/23/03 05:42 a		1.01	GPC26A
						4.03E	E-01	(3.9)			G	

No. of Results: 7

Comments:

FORM II

Date: 29-Dec-03

DUPLICATE RESULTS

Lab Name:

STL Richland

SDG:

W04221

Collection Date: 12/2/2003 9:40:00 AM

Lot-Sample No.: J3L050138-1

Report No.:

24517

Received Date:

12/4/2003 2:35:00 PM

Client Sample ID: J01575 DUP

COC No.:

B02-063-025

Matrix:

SOIL

Pa	rameter	Result, Orig Rst	Qual	Count Error (2s)	Total Uncert(23)	MDC MDA, Action Lev	Rpt Unit, CRDL	Yleid	Rst/MDC, Rst/TotUcert	Analysis, Prep Date	Total Sa Size	Aliquot Size	Primary Detector
Batch:	3345586	7196_CR6			Work Order:	F53LV1AG	Report D)B ID : F53l	LV1GR	Orig Sa DB ID: 9F53	LV10		
	HEXCHROME	3.50E-01	U		0.0E+00	3.50E-01	mg/kg	N/A	(1.)	12/12/03		2.5	
		3.50E-01	U	RPD	0.0		3.50E-01		N/A			G	
Batch:	3346318	GAMMA_GS			Work Order:	F53LV1AJ	Report D	DB (D: F53)	LV1JR	Orig Sa DB ID: 9F53	LV10		
	CO-60	-1.44E-03	U	9.6E-03	9.6E-03	1.65E-02	pCi/g		-0.09	12/15/03 02:27 p		866.7	GER8\$1
		7.27E-03	U	RPD	2 99 .1		5.00E-02		-0.3			g	
	CS-137	2.10E-01		3.2E-02	3.2E-02	1.56E-02	pCi/g		(13.5)	12/15/03 02:27 p		866.7	GER8\$1
		2.37E-01		RPD	12.3		1.00E-01		(13.2)			g	
	EU-152	1.42E-01	U	4.0E-02	4.0E-02	4.55E-02	pCi/g		(3.1)	12/15/03 02:27 p		866.7	GER8\$1
		1,62E-01	U	RPD	13.1		1.00E-01		(7.1)			g	
	EU-154	-5.47E-03	U	3.1E-02	3.1E-02	5.25E-02	pCi/g		-0.1	12/15/03 02:27 p		866.7	GER8\$1
		3.14E-02	U	RPD	284.4		1.00E-01		-0.36	-		ġ.	
	EU-155	4.47E-02	U	2.6E-02	2.6E-02	4,36E-02	pCi/g		(1.)	12/15/03 02:27 p		866.7	GER8\$1
		2.44E-02	U	RPD	58.8		1.00E-01		(3.5)			g	
Batch:	3346319	SRTOT_SEP_PRE	CIP_GP	c	Work Order:	F53LV1AK	Report [DB ID: F53	LV1KR	Orig Sa DB ID: 9F53	L V 10		
	STRONTIUM	1.66E-01	U	3.8E-01	3.8E-01	8.61E-01	pCi/g	75%	0.19	12/23/03 05:42 a		1.02	GPC26B
		1.09E+00		RPD	147.3				0.87			G	

No. of Results: 7

Comments:

STL Richland

- Relative Percent Difference.

rptSTLRchDupV4. 05 A97

MDC|MDA,Lc - Detection, Decision Level based on instrument background or blank, adjusted by the sample Efficiency, Yield, and Volume. U Qual - Analyzed for, but the result is less than the Mdc/Mda|Total Uncert or gamma scan software did not identify the nuclide.

FORM II

BLANK RESULTS

SDG:

W04221

Date: 29-Dec-03

Report No.: 24517

Parameter	Result	Qual	Count Error (2s)	Total Uncert(2s)	MDC MDA, Lc	Rpt Unit, CRDL	Yield	Rst/MDC, Rst/TotUcert	Analysis, Prep Date	Total Sa Size	Aliquot Size	Primary Detector
Batch: 3345586	7196_CR6	•		Work Order:	F6HEW1AA	Report	DB ID: F61	IEW1AB				
HEXCHROME	3.50E-01	U		0.0E+00	3.50E-01	mg/kg	N/A	(1.)	12/12/03		2.5	
						3.50E-01		N/A			G	
Batch: 3346318	GAMMA_GS		-	Work Order:	F6JQ61AA	Report	DB ID: F6J	IQ61AX				
CO-60	4.47E-03	U	3.6E-03	3.6E-03	7.82E-03	pCi/g		0.57	12/15/03 11:04 a		859.27	GER7\$1
						5.00E-02		(2.5)			g	
CS-137	7.09E-04	U	3.3E-03	3.3E-03	6.16E-03	pCi/g		0.12	12/15/03 11:04 a		859.27	GER7\$1
						1.00E-01		0.42			g	
EU-152	2.18E-03	U	8.6E-03	8.6E-03	1.53E-02	pCi/g		0.14	12/15/03 11:04 a		859.27	GER7\$1
						1.00E-01		0.51			g	
EU-154	-2.12E-03	U	8.4E-03	8.4E-03	1.53E-02	pCi/g		-0.14	12/15/03 11:04 a		859.27	GER7\$1
						1.00E-01		-0.5			g	
EU-155	8.69E-03	U	1.0E-02	1.0E-02	1.83E-02	pCi/g		0.48	12/15/03 11:04 a		859.27	GER7\$1
						1.00 E- 01		(1.7)			g	
Batch: 3346319	SRTOT_SEP	PRECIF	P_GPC	Work Order:	F6JQ71AA	Report	DB ID: F6.	JQ71AB				
STRONTIUM	-2.69E-02	Ų	5.0E-02	5.0E-02	1.29E-01	pCi/g	88%	-0.21	12/23/03 05:42 a		6.0	GPC260
					5.95E-02			-(1.1)			G	

No. of Results: 7

Comments:

Lab Name: STL Richland

Matrix: SOIL

FORM II LCS RESULTS

Date: 29-Dec-03

Lab Name: STL Richland

SDG:

W04221

Matrix: SOIL

Report No.: 24517

Parameter	Result	Qual	Count Error (23)	Total Uncert(2s)	MDC MDA	Report Unit	Yield	Expected	Expected Uncert	Recovery, Bias	Analysis, Prep Date	Aliquot Size	Primary Detector
Batch: 3345586	7196_CR6		,	Work Orde	er: F6HEW1/	AC	Report DB ID:	F6HEW1A	S				
HEXCHROME	3.76E+01			0.0E+00	3.50E-01	mg/kg	N/A	4.00E+01		94%	12/12/03	2.5	
							Rec Limits:	80	120	-0.1		G	
Batch: 3346318	GAMMA_GS			Work Orde	er: F6JQ61A	С	Report DB 1D:	F6JQ61CM	I				
CS-137	3.20E-01		5.4E-02	5.4E-02	3.06E-02	pCi/g		2.93E-01	1.3E-02	109%	12/15/03 11:04 a	4 57.79	GER8\$1
							Rec Limits:	70	130	0.1		g	
K-40	1.99E+01		2.5E+00	2.5E+00	1.92E-01	pCi/g		1.95E+01	1.3E-02	102%	12/15/03 11:04 a	457.79	GER8\$1
							Rec Limits:	70	130	0.0		g	
RA-226	1.05E+00		1.5E-01	1.5E-01	4.76E-02	pCi/g		1.15E+00	5.2E-02	91%	12/15/03 11:04 a	457.79	GER8\$1
							Rec Limits:	70	130	-0.1		g	
RA-228	2.57E+00		3.7E-01	3.7E-01	1.10E-01	pCi/g		1.87E+00	9.6E-02	137%	12/15/03 11:04 a	457.79	GER8\$1
							Rec Limits:	70	130	0.4		g	
U-238DHP	9.93E-01		4.9E-01	4.9E-01	5.68E-01	pCi/g		1.05E+00	5.4E-02	94%	12/15/03 11:04 a	457.79	GER8\$1
						•	Rec Limits:	70	130	-0.1		g	
Batch: 3346319	SRTOT_SEP_F	RECIP	_GPC	Work Ord	er: F6JQ71A	C	Report DB ID	F6JQ71CS	3		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
STRONTIUM	1.12E+00		1.4E-01	3.2E-01	1.25E-01	pCi/g	91%	1.14E+00	1.4E-02	98%	12/23/03 05:42 a	6.0	GPC26D
						-	Rec Limits:	20	105	0.0		G	

No. of Results: 7

Comments:

Bias

FORM II

MATRIX SPIKE RESULTS

Date: 29-Dec-03

Lab Name:

STL Richland

SDG:

W04221

Lot-Sample No.: J3L050138-1

Report No.: 24517

Matrix: SOIL

Parameter	SpikeResult, Orig Rst	Qual	Count Error (2s)	Total Uncert(2s)	MDCIMDA	Rpt Unit, CRDL	Yield	Rec-overy	Exp-ected Exp Uncert	Analysis, Prep Date	Allquot Size	Primary Detector
Batch: 3345586	7196_CR6			Work Order:	F53LV1AF	Repo	rt DB ID: F	53LV1FW	Orig Sa DB ID	: 9F53LV10		
HEXCHROME	3.06E+01			0.0E+00	3.50E-01	mg/kg	N/A	72.66%	4.22E+01	12/12/03	2.5	
	3.50E-01	RPD	195.5								G	
No. of Results: 1	Comments:					-			······································			

RER

SEVERN STL

Data Review Checklist RADIOCHEMISTRY First Level Review Lot Number: Client ID: Due Date: OC Batch Number: Method Test Parameter: Matrix: SDG Number: Review Item Yes (√) No $(\sqrt{})$ N/A (√) A. COC 1. Is the ICOC page complete (includes all applicable analysts, dates, SOP numbers and revisions)? B. OC Batch 1. Do the Summary/Detailed Reports include a calculated result for each sample listed on the QC Batch Sheet? 2. Are the QC appropriate for the analysis included in the batch? 3. Is the Analytical Batch Worksheets complete (includes, as appropriate, volumes, count times, etc.)? 4. Does the Worksheets include a Tracer Vial label for each sample? C. OC & Samples 1. Is the blank result, yield and MDA within contract limits? 2. Is the LCS result, yield and MDA within contract limits? 3. Are the MS/MSD results, yields and MDAs within contract limits? 4. Are the duplicate results, yields and MDAs within contract limits? 5. Are the sample yields and MDAs within contract limits? D. Raw Data 1. Were results calculated in the correct units? 2. Were analysis volumes entered correctly? 3. Were yields entered correctly? 4. Were spectra reviewed/meet contractual requirements? 5. Were raw counts reviewed for anomalies? E. Other 1. Are all Nonconformances included and noted? 10-01466 2. Are all required forms filled out? 3. Was the correct methodology used? 4. Was transcription checked? 5. Were all calculations checked at a minimum frequency?

mments on any "No" response:			
infinition of any 140 response.			
	<u>.</u>		
			
,			
Level Review:		Date: 13 -/	2 (مید

LS-038A, Rev. 9, 8/02



Data Review Checklist RADIOCHEMISTRY Second Level Review

Limit? 8. Do the MS/MSD results and yields meet acceptance criteria? 9. Do the duplicate sample results and yields meet acceptance criteria? C. Other 1. Are all Nonconformances included and noted? 2. Are all required forms filled out?		\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
2. Is the sample Minimum Detectable Activity < the Contract Detection Limit? 3. Are the correct isotopes reported? B. QC Samples 1. Is the Minimum Detectable Activity for the blank result ≤ the Contract Detection Limit? 2. Does the blank result meet the Contract criteria? 3. Is the blank result < the Contract Detection Limit? 4. Is the blank result > the Contract Detection Limit but the sample result < the Contract Detection Limit? 5. Is the LCS recovery with contract acceptance criteria? 7. Is the LCS Minimum Detectable Activity ≤ the Contract Detection Limit? 8. Do the MS/MSD results and yields meet acceptance criteria? 9. Do the duplicate sample results and yields meet acceptance criteria? 1. Are all Nonconformances included and noted? 2. Are all required forms filled out?		
Detection Limit? 3. Are the correct isotopes reported? B. QC Samples 1. Is the Minimum Detectable Activity for the blank result ≤ the Contract Detection Limit? 2. Does the blank result meet the Contract criteria? 3. Is the blank result < the Contract Detection Limit? 4. Is the blank result > the Contract Detection Limit but the sample result < the Contract Detection Limit? 5. Is the LCS recovery with contract acceptance criteria? 7. Is the LCS Minimum Detectable Activity ≤ the Contract Detection Limit? 8. Do the MS/MSD results and yields meet acceptance criteria? 9. Do the duplicate sample results and yields meet acceptance criteria? 1. Other 1. Are all Nonconformances included and noted? 2. Are all required forms filled out?		
3. Are the correct isotopes reported? B. QC Samples 1. Is the Minimum Detectable Activity for the blank result ≤ the Contract Detection Limit? 2. Does the blank result meet the Contract criteria? 3. Is the blank result < the Contract Detection Limit? 4. Is the blank result > the Contract Detection Limit but the sample result < the Contract Detection Limit? 5. Is the LCS recovery with contract acceptance criteria? 7. Is the LCS Minimum Detectable Activity ≤ the Contract Detection Limit? 8. Do the MS/MSD results and yields meet acceptance criteria? 9. Do the duplicate sample results and yields meet acceptance criteria? 1. Other 1. Are all Nonconformances included and noted? 2. Are all required forms filled out?		
B. QC Samples 1. Is the Minimum Detectable Activity for the blank result ≤ the Contract Detection Limit? 2. Does the blank result meet the Contract criteria? 3. Is the blank result < the Contract Detection Limit? 4. Is the blank result > the Contract Detection Limit but the sample result < the Contract Detection Limit? 5. Is the LCS recovery with contract acceptance criteria? 7. Is the LCS Minimum Detectable Activity ≤ the Contract Detection Limit? 8. Do the MS/MSD results and yields meet acceptance criteria? 9. Do the duplicate sample results and yields meet acceptance criteria? 1. Other 1. Are all Nonconformances included and noted? 2. Are all required forms filled out?		
1. Is the Minimum Detectable Activity for the blank result ≤ the Contract Detection Limit? 2. Does the blank result meet the Contract criteria? 3. Is the blank result < the Contract Detection Limit? 4. Is the blank result > the Contract Detection Limit but the sample result < the Contract Detection Limit? 5. Is the LCS recovery with contract acceptance criteria? 7. Is the LCS Minimum Detectable Activity ≤ the Contract Detection Limit? 8. Do the MS/MSD results and yields meet acceptance criteria? 9. Do the duplicate sample results and yields meet acceptance criteria? 1. C. Other 1. Are all Nonconformances included and noted? 1. Are all required forms filled out?		
Contract Detection Limit? 2. Does the blank result meet the Contract criteria? 3. Is the blank result < the Contract Detection Limit? 4. Is the blank result > the Contract Detection Limit but the sample result < the Contract Detection Limit? 5. Is the LCS recovery with contract acceptance criteria? 7. Is the LCS Minimum Detectable Activity ≤ the Contract Detection Limit? 8. Do the MS/MSD results and yields meet acceptance criteria? 9. Do the duplicate sample results and yields meet acceptance criteria? 1. Other 1. Are all Nonconformances included and noted? 2. Are all required forms filled out?		
2. Does the blank result meet the Contract criteria? 3. Is the blank result < the Contract Detection Limit? 4. Is the blank result > the Contract Detection Limit but the sample result < the Contract Detection Limit? 5. Is the LCS recovery with contract acceptance criteria? 7. Is the LCS Minimum Detectable Activity ≤ the Contract Detection Limit? 8. Do the MS/MSD results and yields meet acceptance criteria? 9. Do the duplicate sample results and yields meet acceptance criteria? C. Other 1. Are all Nonconformances included and noted? 2. Are all required forms filled out?	~	
3. Is the blank result < the Contract Detection Limit? 4. Is the blank result > the Contract Detection Limit but the sample result < the Contract Detection Limit? 5. Is the LCS recovery with contract acceptance criteria? 7. Is the LCS Minimum Detectable Activity ≤ the Contract Detection Limit? 8. Do the MS/MSD results and yields meet acceptance criteria? 9. Do the duplicate sample results and yields meet acceptance criteria? 1. Are all Nonconformances included and noted? 2. Are all required forms filled out?	V	
4. Is the blank result > the Contract Detection Limit but the sample result < the Contract Detection Limit? 5. Is the LCS recovery with contract acceptance criteria? 7. Is the LCS Minimum Detectable Activity ≤ the Contract Detection Limit? 8. Do the MS/MSD results and yields meet acceptance criteria? 9. Do the duplicate sample results and yields meet acceptance criteria? C. Other 1. Are all Nonconformances included and noted? 2. Are all required forms filled out?	V	
result < the Contract Detection Limit? 5. Is the LCS recovery with contract acceptance criteria? 7. Is the LCS Minimum Detectable Activity ≤ the Contract Detection Limit? 8. Do the MS/MSD results and yields meet acceptance criteria? 9. Do the duplicate sample results and yields meet acceptance criteria? C. Other 1. Are all Nonconformances included and noted? 2. Are all required forms filled out?	V	
5. Is the LCS recovery with contract acceptance criteria? 7. Is the LCS Minimum Detectable Activity ≤ the Contract Detection Limit? 8. Do the MS/MSD results and yields meet acceptance criteria? 9. Do the duplicate sample results and yields meet acceptance criteria? C. Other 1. Are all Nonconformances included and noted? 2. Are all required forms filled out?	V	· · ·
7. Is the LCS Minimum Detectable Activity ≤ the Contract Detection Limit? 8. Do the MS/MSD results and yields meet acceptance criteria? 9. Do the duplicate sample results and yields meet acceptance criteria? C. Other 1. Are all Nonconformances included and noted? 2. Are all required forms filled out?	V	
8. Do the MS/MSD results and yields meet acceptance criteria? 9. Do the duplicate sample results and yields meet acceptance criteria? C. Other 1. Are all Nonconformances included and noted? 2. Are all required forms filled out?		
2. On the duplicate sample results and yields meet acceptance criteria? C. Other 1. Are all Nonconformances included and noted? 2. Are all required forms filled out?		
criteria? C. Other I. Are all Nonconformances included and noted? C. Are all required forms filled out?		سية
C. Other 1. Are all Nonconformances included and noted? 2. Are all required forms filled out?		
. Are all Nonconformances included and noted?	1	
Are all required forms filled out?		
	<u></u>	
Was the current methodology used?		
	<u> </u>	
4. Was transcription checked?		
5. Were all calculations checked at a minimum frequency?		
. Were units checked?		
6. Were units checked? Comments on any "No" response: See NCM		

Clouseau Nonconformance Memo



NCM #: 10-01466

NCM Initiated By: Dale O'Connell

Date Opened.

Date Opened: 12/18/2003

Date Closed:

Classification: Anomaly

Status: PMREVIEW

Production Area: Environmental - Prep

Tests: Gamma by GER

Lot #'s (Sample #'s): J3L050138 (1), J3L120000

(318),

QC Batches: 3346318

Nonconformance: Insufficient sample volume for QC Subcategory: Other (explanation required)

Problem Description / Root Cause

Name Dale O'Connell Date

Description

12/18/2003

Insufficient sample to generate a duplicate.

Possible False Positive: Although key-line activity> MDA, identification of radionuclide

rejected by abundance criteria.

Ra-228 recovery out of limits for the LCS. Cause is possibly countinig statistics, will

monitor.

Corrective Action

Name

<u>Date</u>

Corrective Action

Dale O'Connell

12/18/2003

Precision determination achieved by recounting sample on a different detector.

Report results.

Client Notification Summary

Client

Project Manager

Notified

Response How Notified

Note

Response

Response Note

Quality Assurance Verification

Verified By

Due Date

Status

Notes

This section not yet completed by QA.

Approval History

Date Approved

Approved By

Position

Date Printed: 12/18/03



LS-038A, Rev. 9, 8/02



Data Review Checklist RADIOCHEMISTRY First Level Review

Client ID: 3HI Due Date: 12/24/2003 OC Batch Number: 3346319			
QC Batch Number: 13346319		··	
		·	
Method Test Parameter: TH TOTAL STRONTIU	<u>M</u>		
Matrix: Sell.			
SDG Number: WO 4221			
Review Item	Yes (√)	No (V)	N/A (√)
A. COC	}	ſ	1 .
1. Is the ICOC page complete (includes all applicable analysts, dates,	{	1	. [
SOP numbers and revisions)?			_
B. QC Batch	}	1	1
1. Do the Summary/Detailed Reports include a calculated result for	_	1	
each sample listed on the QC Batch Sheet?	 		
2. Are the QC appropriate for the analysis included in the batch?		<u> </u>	
3. Is the Analytical Batch Worksheets complete (includes, as	1		{
appropriate, volumes, count times, etc.)?	 	 	
4. Does the Worksheets include a Tracer Vial label for each sample?		 	
C. QC & Samples	1 -	1	
1. Is the blank result, yield and MDA within contract limits?		 	
2. Is the LCS result, yield and MDA within contract limits?	}	 	
3. Are the MS/MSD results, yields and MDAs within contract limits?		ļ	1
4. Are the duplicate results, yields and MDAs within contract limits?			
5. Are the sample yields and MDAs within contract limits?		 	
D. Raw Data	_	1	
1. Were results calculated in the correct units?		 	
2. Were analysis volumes entered correctly?		 	
3. Were yields entered correctly?	ļ		
4. Were spectra reviewed/meet contractual requirements?		-	
5. Were raw counts reviewed for anomalies?	ļ		
E. Other		Ţ	
1. Are all Nonconformances included and noted?			
2. Are all required forms filled out?		·	
3. Was the correct methodology used?		 	
	_	1	1 1
4. Was transcription checked?			
4. Was transcription checked? 5. Were all calculations checked at a minimum frequency? 6. Are worksheet entries complete and correct?			



Data Review Checklist RADIOCHEMISTRY Second Level Review

OC Batch Number: 3346319

Review Item	Yes (√)	No(√)	N/A (V)
A. Sample Analysis	Ţ		
1. Are the sample yields within acceptance criteria?		1	
2. Is the sample Minimum Detectable Activity < the Contract			
Detection Limit?	V		
3. Are the correct isotopes reported?			
B. QC Samples		7	
1. Is the Minimum Detectable Activity for the blank result ≤ the		Į	
Contract Detection Limit?		}	
2. Does the blank result meet the Contract criteria?	~	1	
3. Is the blank result < the Contract Detection Limit?		T	
4. Is the blank result > the Contract Detection Limit but the sample			
result < the Contract Detection Limit?	}	1	
5. Is the LCS recovery with contract acceptance criteria?		T	
7. Is the LCS Minimum Detectable Activity ≤ the Contract Detection			
Limit?	/	1	
8. Do the MS/MSD results and yields meet acceptance criteria?			
9. Do the duplicate sample results and yields meet acceptance			
criteria?	1	ļ	
C. Other			
1. Are all Nonconformances included and noted?		j	
2. Are all required forms filled out?	3		
3. Was the correct methodology used?	سو		
4. Was transcription checked?	من ا		
5. Were all calculations checked at a minimum frequency?	4		
6. Were units checked?	-	-	
Comments on any "No" response:			
Para l all			12-26
Second Level Review: MWU Silv		Date:	12-6



Richland Laboratory Data Review Check List METALS

Work Order Number(s): (19042) Batch# 3	3455	86		
	200	-185	<u>0.6</u>	
Method/Test/Parameter: 1) EXA VI) (SAT Chromium	$\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\epsilon}$	2H X	LK S	OLO.)
Review Item	Yes (✓)	No (✓)	N/A (<)	2 nd Level Review (*)
A. Initial Calibration				
Performed at required frequency with required number of levels?	1	ļ		/
2. Correlation coefficient within QC limits?	V			
Initial calibration verification (ICV) analyzed immediately after calibration and results within QC limits?	V			/
4. Initial calibration blank (ICB) analyzed immediately after ICV and concentrations of all parameters ≤ reporting limit?	1			V .
B. Continuing Calibration			,	
CCV analyzed at required frequency and all parameters within QC limits?	1			/
 CCB analyzed at required frequency and all results ≤ reporting limit? 	1			
C. Sample Analysis				
1. Were any samples with concentrations above the linear range for any parameter diluted and reanalyzed?				
2. Were all sample holding times met?	<i>i</i> /			. /
D. QC Samples				
1. All results for the preparation blank below limits?	س ا			/
2. MS or MS/MSD recoveries within QC limits and %RPD (for MSD) acceptable?		~		/
3. LCS percent recovery within QC limits and %RPD (for LCSD) acceptable?	~			/
4. Analytical spikes within QC limits where applicable?		1		V
5. ICP only: One serial dilution performed per SDG?			~	V
6. ICP only: CRDL standard (CRI or CRA) analyzed at required frequency?			~	V .
7. ICP only: Interference check samples (ICSA, ICSAB) and HICAL analyzed at the required frequencies and within QC limits?				

Review Item	Yes (✓)	No (✓)	N/Á (✓)	2 nd Level Review (<)
E. Other				
1. Are all nonconformances included and noted? 10-01503	V			
2. Is the correct date and time of analysis shown?	1			/
3. Did the analyst sign and date the front page of the analytical run?	1			/
4. Correct methodology used?	1			/
5. Transcriptions checked?				V
6. Calculations checked at minimum frequency?	~			/
7. Units checked?	V			

Comments on a	iny "No" response:					•	
<u>m5</u>	1025	not	within	ExC 1	mits.	12-12-03	SNW
				 			
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
							
				<u></u>			
					·		- /
Analyst:	n. W	ins			Date: <u>1</u> 2-	1205	-
Second-Level	Review:	1620	Ul.		Date: 12-	1503	

Clouseau Nonconformance Memo



NCM #: 10-01503

NCM Initiated By: Dale O'Connell Date Opened: 12/22/2003

Date Closed:

Classification: Anomaly

Status: PMREVIEW

Production Area: Classical Chemistry

Tests: 7196A

Lot #'s (Sample #'s): J3L050138 (1), J3L110000

(586), J3L150000 (625),

QC Batches: 3345586, 3349625

Nonconformance: QC data exceeded criteria

Subcategory: MS/MSD accuracy and/or precision out of control

Problem Description / Root Cause

<u>Name</u>

<u>Date</u>

Description

Dale O'Connell 12/22/2003

Initial analysis, soluble matrix spike out of limits, recovery low at 73%.

Corrective Action

Name

Dale O'Connell

<u>Date</u> 12/22/2003 **Corrective Action**

Matrix effect confirmed upon re-analysis, soluble matrix spike out of limits, recovery

low at 64 %. Report results of first analysis.

Client Notification Summary

Client

Project Manager

Notified

Response How Notified

Note

Response

Response Note

Quality Assurance Verification

Verified By

Due Date

Status

Notes

This section not yet completed by QA.

Approval History

Date Approved

Approved By

Position

Date Printed: 12/22/03

Page 1 of 1

CHAIN OF CUSTODY

Bechtel Hanford ollector Fahlberg		Compa	IAIN OF CUSTO nv Contact ankovich	Telephon 531-76	e No.		i	Project Coord KESSNER, JH	linator	Price Code	8B	Data Tur	rnaround
roiect Designation 100 K Area - Full Protocol		116-	ng Location K-1 Shallo w Zone	NL	11/17/0	3		SAF No. B02-063		Air Quality	· (.)	21 I	Days
e Chest No. EXC	79 022	Field I - EL 1	ogbnok No.		COA R116K126			Method of Shi Government					
finned To Severn Trent Incorporated, Ric	hland	Offsite	Pronerty No. 1/A	1				BiH of Ladins	z/Air Bill i	No. NA			
POSSIBLE SAMPLE HAZAR Potentially Radioactive	DS/REMARKS		Preservation	None	Cool 4C	None	None						
Special Handling and/or St	orage		Type of Container	aG	aG	Р	äG						
Cool 4c			No. of Container(s)	la	1	l	1						
			Volume	Dedig.	60mL	1000mL	60ml.						
SD4 W04221	SAMPLE ANAL	125013/	re 12-26	er (en) (1) in Special Instructions.	Chromium Hex - 7196	See item (2) in Special Instructions	Strontium 89,90 — To Sr. Michal Carbon	otal +1. 14 −		-			,
Sample No.	Matrix *	Sample Date	Sample Time	 							-		
01575 F53LV	SOIL	12.203	0940	X	٨	х	х						
	<u></u>									- -	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	ļ
				,,,									
					<u> </u>		<u> </u>					<u> </u>	<u> </u>
etinquished By/Removed From 14 3728 12403	Date/Time 1400	Received By/Ston	red in Date 7 12-02-0 red in Date MAL 12 9 red in S A WWW Date 5679 MWWW I	/Time 03 /6 /Time /	(I) IC		10A (Supe	rirace) (Arseni c,		9-4 > Lead (Meroury - um-152, Europiun		n⊦155¦	Matrix S=Soil SE=Sedina SO=Solid SI=Sludge W = Water O=Oil A=Air DS=Drum D1=Drum T=Tissue WI=Wipe
Relinquished By/Removed From Date/Time Received By/Stored				/Time		ersonner no Relinquish sa							L=Liquid V=Vegeta X=Other
	Date/Time	Received By/Stor	red In Date	:Time	F	Ref# <u>//</u> 4_on_	12/4	107					
elinquished By/Removed From		1			1								Ь
elinquished By/Removed From LABORATORY Received By SECTION				Tir	ile						t	Date/Time	

DATA SHEEL

	CAS NO	RESULT pCi/g	25 ਜ਼ਰੂਹ (CC	MDA . j	RDL pCi/g	QUALI- FIERS	TEST
Total Strontium	SR-RAD	10.6	0.55	0.22	1.0		SR
Co_alt 60	10198-40-0	31.8	0	<u> </u>	0.050		GAM
Cesium 137	10045-97-3	437	1.2	0.52	0.10		GAM
a 157 -	14683-23-9	.162	1		0.10		GAM
Europium 154	15585-10-1	25.1	0.96	0.86	0.10		GAM
Europium 155	14391-16-3	1.00	0.47	0 <u>.96</u> _	0.10		GAM
A ricium 24.	14596-10-2	5.42	0	.51		•	GAM

100 K Area - Quick Turn

373-9745

Page 4
SUMMARY D' . SECTION

Protocol Hanford
Version Ver 1.0
Form DVD-DS
Version 3.06
Report date 09/12/03



Sample Check-in List

Date/T	ime Received:			_ _	_	
Client:_	り出工	SDG #: WOU	22 NA[]	SAF#:_t	502-063 _{NA[}]
Work C	Order Number: <u>)</u>	31.050138	Chain of Custo	ody# <u>B(</u>	02-063-025	5
Shippin	ng Container ID: <u>E</u>	RC99 022	Air Bill #	pa		
I.	Custody Seals on	shipping container intact?	. *	NA []	Yes [] No []	•
2.	Custody Seals da	ted and signed?		NA[]	Yes [] No [4	
3.	Chain of Custody	4		•	Yes [No []	
4.	Cooler temperatu	re: 4° NA W 5.	Vermiculite/pack	ing material	s is NA [] Wet [] D	ry[]
6.	Number of sample	es in shipping container:	3			
7.	Sample holding ti	mes exceeded?	•	NA []	Yes [] No [4]	
8.	Samples have:tapecustody sea	ls		hazard labels	s samples labels	
9.	Samples are:in good conbroken			leaking nave air bubl		
10.	Sample pH taken?	NA) pH<2	[] pH>2[]	pH>9[]		
11.		Sample Collector Listed?			Yes [] No []	•
12.	Were any anomali	es identified in sample rec	cipt?		Yes[] No H	
13.	Description of ano	omalies (include sample nu	mbers):		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
						_
Sample (Custodian:	<u>udetherez</u>		2-4-6	3	
Clier	nt Sample ID	Analysis Requested	Conditi	on	Comments/Action	
Client Info	ormed on	by	Person c	ontacted		
] No ad	ction necessary; proc	cess as is.	· .			
roject M:	аладег	·	Date			
S-023, 9/	/03. Rev. 5		•			

12/15/2003 10:24:32 AM 127642, BECHTEL HANFORD, INC. Bechtel Hanford, Inc. Report Due: 12/26/2003	Sample Prepara AX Gamma PrpRC5013/5017 TA Gamma by HPGE 51 CLIENT: HANFORD	tion/Analysis RIOR	Balan P Sep1 DT/Tm	ipet #:
Batch: 3346318 SOIL pCi/g	•	: BG2, 27038	Sep2 DT/Tm	Tech:
SEQ Batch, Test: None All Tests: 3345586 DWEA, 334	5589 88OV, 3346318 AXTA, 3346319 CF	тн,	Prep	Tech: ,SCHERRT
Work Order, Lot, Total Initial Alique Sample DateTime Amt/Unit Amt/Unit		0 - 0 - 11 -	ount Detector ne Min Id	Count On Off CR Analyst, (24hr) Circle Init/Date
1 F53LV-1-AE 866.7gt,in J3L050138-1-SAMP		SmA 20	0 66	1424 13/15/62/11
12/02/2003 09:40 AmtRi	ec: LG,2X60G #Containers: 3		Scr Rst: Alpha: 1.	.03E+02 pCi/g Beta: 3.15E+01 pCi/g
2 F53LV-1-AJ-X 866.7g,in			, /	17/15/6
J3L050138-1-DUP			64	1747
12/02/2003 09:40 AmtRi	ec: LG,2X60G #Containers: 3		Scr Rst: Alpha: 1.	.03E+02 pCi/g Beta: 3.15E+01 pCi/g
3 F6JQ6-1-AA-B 859.27g,in	0:01 500		10	-
J3L120000-318-BLK	CAL 827		67	1424 12115/05/2
12/02/2003 09:40 AmtRd	ec: #Containers: 1		Scr Rst: A	Alpha: Beta:
4 F6JQ6-1-AC-C 457.79g,in	101 (21)		18/	
J3L120000-318-LCS	CAL 816	V V	<u> </u>	1425 Y
1/1/02 12/02/2003.09:40 JH12/15/63 AMIR				Alpha: Beta:
Comments: Not Enough sample	- recount Dup on di	Aerent ditetor If	H12/15/03	
All Clients for Batch: 127642, BECHTEL HANFORD, INC.	Bechtel Hanford, Inc.	, BG2, 27038		
F53LVIAE-SAMP Constituent List: C0-60 RDL:5.00E-02 pCi/g LCL: Cs-137DA RDL:1.00E-01 pCi/g LCL: Eu-154 RDL:1.00E-01 pCi/g LCL: F6JQ61AA-BLK: C0-60 RDL:5.00E-02 pCi/g LCL:	UCL: RPD: UCL: RPD: UCL: RPD:	Cs-137 RDL:1.00E-01 Eu-152 RDL:1.00E-01 Eu-155 RDL:1.00E-01	pCi/g LCL: pci/g LCL: pCi/g LCL: pCi/g LCL:	UCL: RPD: UCL: RPD: UCL: RPD:
Cs-137DA RDL:1.00E-01 pCi/g LCL: STL Richland Key: In - Initial Amt, fi - Final Amt, di Richland Wa. r - Reference date, ec-Enrichment	Pac	Eu-152 RDL:1.00E-01	pCi/g LCL:	WO Cnt: 4 Prep_SamplePrep v4

12/18/03 9:45:32 AM

ICOC Fraction Transfer/Status Report ByDate: 11/18/03, 12/19/03, Batch: '3346318', User: *All Order by BatchNbr, WorkOrderNbr, DateTimeAccepting

Q Batch Work O	rd CurStat	ius A	ccepting		Comments
3346318					
AC	CalcC	SCHERRT	12/15/03 10:21:	:48	jwDone
SC		wagarr	IsBatched	12/12/03 11:00:06 AM	ICOC_RADCALC v4.708
SC		SCHERRT	InPrep	12/15/03 10:21:48 AM	RICH-RC-5013 REVISION 4
SC		SCHERRT	Prep1C	12/15/03 10:25:00 AM	RICH-RC-5013 REVISION 4
SC		BlackCL	InCnt1	12/15/03 10:58:07 AM	RICH-RD-0007 REVISION 4
SC		BlackCL	CalcC	12/15/03 5:53:39 PM	RICH-RD-0007 REVISION 4
AC		SCHERRT	12/15/03 10:25:	:00	
AC		BlackCL	12/15/03 10:58:	:07	
AC		BlackCL	12/15/03 5:53:3	39 PM	

AC: Accepting Entry; SC: Status Change

STL Richland

Richland Wa.

Page 1

Grp Rec Cnt: 4 ICOCFractions v4.708

12/16/2003 8:45:21				ple Prepara		ysis	OFIT	j Ba∣	lance Id:1120373	3922 ,#02	-
127642, BECHTEL H Bechtel Hanford, Inc.	IANFORD, INC		CH Sr-Total Prpi TH Total Stronti		5006		MAJE 1	1	Pipet #: NA		
Report Due: 12/26	/2003 W	54221	51 CLIENT: HAI	•				Sep1 DT/	Tm Tech: /ス-22	-03	3:18 mg
Batch: 3346319	SOIL	pCi/g		PM, Quote	BG2, 2703	38		Sep2 DT/	rm Tech: √A		
SEO Batch, Test: None		(s)				(Mg)		Pi	rep Tech: ,WAGN	IERJ	
Work Order, Lot, Sample DateTime	Total Amt/Unit	Initial Aliquot Amt/Unit	QC Tracer Prep Date	QC Vial 2 Prep Date	Dish Size	Ppt or Geometry	Count Time Min	Detector Id	Count On Of (24hr) Circle		Analyst, it/Date
1 F53LV-1-AC		1.01g,in	SRTA10262			· <u>" </u>				<u>"</u> 12/	33/10
J3L050138-1-SAMP			08/07/03 05/29/03.c			81.5	\$6	200	- Oleo7	,	>
12/02/2003 09:40	(15)	AmtRec: LG		ntainers: 3			Scr F	Rst: Alpha	: 1.03E+02 pQi/g	Beta: 3.158	
2 F53LV-1-AK-X		1.02g,in	SRTA10263								
J3L050138-1-DUP		********	08/07/03 05/29/03.r			75.2		XL	3		
12/02/2003 09:40	(15)	AmtRec: LG	i,2X60G #Co	ntainers: 3			Scr F	ist: Alpha	: 1.03E+02 p Ç i/g	Beta: 3.15E	i-o1 pCi/g
3 F6JQ7-1-AA-B		6.0g,in	SRTA10264	-				0 :			
J3L120000-319-BLK			08/07/03 05/29/03 _. r			87.9		дU			
12/02/2003 09:40		AmtRec:	#Containe	ers: 1			Scr F	Rst:	Alpha:	Bet	a
4 F6JQ7-1-AC-C		6.0g,in	STSB0818								
J3L120000-319-LCS		***************************************	11/13/03 09/11/03,c	P4604		910		260) <u> </u>		
12/02/2003 09:40		AmtRec:	#Containe	ers: 1			Scr F	Rst:	Alpha:	Bet	a:
Comments: Red	wud ali	quets forseted	Lin paren	tusis. / 17	1.16-03						
All Clients for Ba 127642, BECHTE		c.	Bechtel	Hanford, Inc.	•	BG2, 27038					
F53LV1AC-SAMP Cons Sr-90 RDL: F6JQ71AA-BLK:		Ci/g LCL:70	UCL:130	RPD:35							. •
Sr-90 RDL:	l p	Ci/g LCL:	UCL:	RPD:							
Sr-90 RDL: F53LV1AC-SAMP Calc		Ci/g LCL:70	UCL:130	RPD:35							
STL Richland Ke		fi - Final Amt, di - Dili	uted Amt, s1 - Sep1	D	<u> </u>	· .				WO C	nt: 4 mplePrep v4

12/23/03 2:34:08 PM

ICOC Fraction Transfer/Status Report ByDate: 11/23/03, 12/24/03, Batch: '3346319', User: *All Order by BatchNbr, WorkOrderNbr, DateTimeAccepting

Batch Wor	k Ord CurStat	us A	ccepting		Comments
3346319					
4 <i>C</i>	CalcC	SCHERRT	12/15/03 10:22	::00	jwDone
SC .		wagarr	IsBatched	12/12/03 11:00:06 AM	1COC_RADCALC.v4.708
SC .		SCHERRT	InPrep	12/15/03 10:22:00 AM	RICH-RC-5013 REVISION 4
SC		SCHERRT	Prep1C	12/15/03 10:22:18 AM	RICH-RC-5013 REVISION 4
SC .		WAGNERJ	InPrep2	12/16/03 8:21:39 PM	RICH-RC-5013 REVISION 4
sc		WAGNERJ	Prep2C	12/18/03 9:58:29 AM	RICH-RC-5013 REVISION 4
SC		FABREM	InSep1	12/18/03 10:02:12 AM	RICH-RC-5006 REVISION 5
SC .		FABREM	Sep1C	12/22/03 5:26:16 PM	RICH-RC-5006 REVISION 5
SC		DAWKINSO	InCnt1	12/22/03 6:31:30 PM	RICH-RD-0003 REVISION 3
SC		BlackCL	CalcC	12/23/03 7:12:05 AM	RICH-RD-0003 REVISION 3
IC		SCHERRT	12/15/03 10:22	:18	jwDone
IC		WAGNERJ	12/16/03 8:21:3	39 PM	
4C		WAGNERJ	12/18/03 9:58:2	29 AM	
AC		FABREM	12/18/03 10:02	:12	
IC .		FABREM	12/22/03 5:26:1	16 PM	
IC		DAWKINSO	12/22/03 6:31:3	30 PM	
AC		BlackCL	12/23/03 7:12:0	05 AM	

AC: Accepting Entry; SC: Status Change

STL Richland

Richland Wa.

Page 1

Grp Rec Cnt:8 ICOCFractions v4.708

12/11/03 2:57:28 PI	M		Samp	le Preparat	ion/Analy	/sis		Bala	ance ld:	
127642, BECHTEL F Bechtel Hanford, Inc.	•	EA	Chromium, He	ation by method exavalent (7196		PRIC	Ta page p	01 DT#	Pipet #:	
Report Due: 12/26		رحا	I CLIENT: HAN				7117	Sep1 DT/T		
Batch: 3345586	SOIL	mg/kg		PM, Quote:	BG2, 2703	8		Sep2 DT/T	m Tech:	
SEQ Batch, Test: None)							Pre	ep Tech:	
Work Order, Lot, Sample DateTime	Total Amt/Unit	Initial Aliquot Amt/Unit	QC Tracer Prep Date	QC Vial 2 Prep Date	Dish Size	Ppt or Geometry	Count Time Min	Detector Id	Count On Off (24hr) Circle	CR Analyst, Init/Date
1 F53LV-1-AA										
J3L050138-1-SAMP										
12/02/2003 09:40		AmtRec: LG,2X	60G #Contr	ainers: 3			Scr	Rst: Alpha:	1.03E+02 pCi/g	Beta: 3.15E+01 pCi/g
2 F53LV-1-AF-S										
J3L050138-1-MS										
12/02/2003 09:40		AmtRec: LG,2X	60G #Cont	ainers: 3			Scr	Rst: Alpha:	1.03E+02 pCi/g	Beta: 3.15E+01 pCVg
3 F53LV-1-AG-X										
J3L050138-1-DUP										
12/02/2003 09:40		AmtRec: LG,2X		ainers: 3			Scr	Rst: Alpha;	1.03E+02 pCi/g	Beta: 3.15E+01 pCi/g
4 F53LV-1-AH-S					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
J3L050138-1-MS										
12/02/2003 09:40		AmtRec: LG,2X	(60G #Cont	ainers: 3			Sor	Rst: Alpha:	1.03E+02 pCi/g	Beta: 3.15E+01 pCi/g
5 F6HEW-1-AA-B			<u></u>							
J3L110000-586-BLK										
12/02/2003 09:40		AmtRec:	#Containers	s; 1			Scr	Rst:	Alpha;	Beta:
6 F6HEW-1-AC-C										
J3L110000-586-LCS										
12/02/2003 09:40		AmtRec:	#Containers	s: 1			Scr	Rst:	Alpha:	Beta:
										,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
1		fi - Final Amt, di - Dilute		Dog						WO Cnt: 6
Richland Wa.	r - Heterence date	e, ec-Enrichment Cell, c	r-Cocktailed Added	1						1000 94.70

12/11/03 2:57:29	РМ		Sam	ple Preparat		Balance Id:						
				estion by method		l	Pipet #:					
Report Due: 12/2	26/2003		51 CLIENT: HA	lexavalent (7196. NFORD	A)		Sep1 DT/Tm Tech:					
Batch: 3345586		mg/kg					Sep2 DT/Tm Tech:					
EQ Batch, Test: None						Gepz Diffin rech.						
								Pre	p Tech:			
Work Order, Lot, Sample DateTime	Total Amt/Unit	Initial Aliquot Amt/Unit	QC Tracer Prep Date	QC Vial 2 Prep Date	Dish Size	Ppt or Geometry	Count Time Min	Detector I	Count On [Off (24hr) Circle	CR Analyst Init/Date		
All Clients for 1 127642, BECH	Batch: FEL HANFORD, INC.		Bechtel	Hanford, Inc.	•	BG2, 27038						
F53LV1AA-SAMP Co						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
HEXCHROME RD: F53LV1AF-MS Cons	L:0.35 mg/k	LCL:80	UCL:120	RPD:20								
	L:0.35 mg/kg	g LCL:75	UCL:125	RPD:20								
F53LV1AH-MS:		_										
	L:0.35 mg/kg	g LCL:75	UCL:125	RPD:20								
F6HEW1AA-BLK: HEXCHROME RD	L:0.35 mg/kg	g LCL:	DCL:	RPD:								
F6HEW1AC-LCS:	mg/10;		Juni									

HEXCHROME

F53LV1AH-MS:

F6HEW1AA-BLK:

F6HEW1AC-LCS:

RDL:0.35

Uncert Level (#s).: 2

Uncert Level (#s).: 2

Uncert Level (#s).: 2

Uncert Level (#s).: 2

F53LV1AA-SAMP Calc Info: Uncert Level (#s).: 2

F53LV1AF-MS Calc Info:

mg/kg

LCL:80

Decay to SaDt: Y

UCL:120

Blk Subt .: N

Blk Subt .: N

Blk Subt.: N

Blk Subt.: N

Blk Subt.: N

RPD:20

Sci.Not.: Y

Sci.Not.: Y

Sci.Not.: Y

Sci.Not.: Y

Sci.Not.: Y

ODRs: B

ODRs: B

ODRs: B

ODRs: B

ODRs: B

12/22/03 3:38:26 PM

ICOC Fraction Transfer/Status Report ByDate: 11/22/03, 12/23/03, Batch: '3345586', '3349625', User: *All Order by BatchNbr, WorkOrderNbr, DateTimeAccepting

Q Batch Wo	rk Ord CurStatu	s A	ccepting		Comments
3345586					
AC	InPrep	WiensN	12/8/03 9:37:06	S AM	
sc		WiensN	InPrep	12/8/03 9:37:06 AM	RICHRC5005 REV6
SC		OConnellD	IsBatched	12/11/03 2:57:25 PM	ICOC_RADCALC v4.708
3349625					
AC	InPrep	WiensN	12/17/03 7:37:5	66 AM	
SC		OConnellD	IsBatched	12/15/03 3:18:40 PM	ICOC_RADCALC v4.708
<i>SC</i>		WiensN	InPrep	12/17/03 7:37:56 AM	RICHRC5005 REV6

AC: Accepting Entry; SC: Status Change

STL Richland

Richland Wa.